From: Adam Megacz
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/23/02 2:21pm

**Subject:** Microsoft trial Tunney Act comment

I strongly oppose the current MS/DOJ settlement.

I'm sure you've recieved plenty of standard replies, so I'll leave out the things that I'm sure many others have already said. However, here is a suggestion you probably haven't heard yet:

"Smallest useful unit"

Microsoft must divide Windows into a set of "smallest useful components" (browser, kernel, shell, media player, etc), and attach a \*single\* price to each one. Any entity (businesses, OEMs, consumers, resellers) must be allowed to purchase any number of licenses for any combination of these components, paying only for the components licensed. Licenses must be transferrable and resellable. Interfaces between components must be fully and publicly documented.

The Windows source code should be made available under NDA to a few leading computer science universities (I suggest Carnegie Mellon, MIT, Berkeley, CalTech, Stanford, and UW), whose expert faculty will be responsible for determining if Microsoft has artificially made components interdependant, and if the inter-component interfaces are sufficiently documented.

Thank you for your time.

- a